DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 249 998 IR 050 867

TITLE White House Conference on Library and Information Services Follow-Up Inquiry. State/Territory Agency Responses.

INSTITUTION National Commission on Libraries and Information Science, Washington, D. C.

PUB DATE Sep 80

NOTE 40p.; Best copy available. For related document, see ED 238 436.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Government Publications; Library Education; Library Extension; *Library Services; National Surveys; *Public Libraries; Questionnaires; *State Action; State Aid; *State Legislation; State Libraries

IDENTIFIERS Friends of the Library; *White House Conference Library Info Services

ABSTRACT

State and territory agency responses to a 1980 follow-up inquiry of the 1979 White House Conference on Library and Information Services (WHCLIST) are presented. Individual questionnaires were completed by 24 states and 2 trust territories. Questionnaires provide information on increased state aid to libraries, new library legislation, establishment of statewide library support groups, follow-up meetings of delegates to the national. state, or territorial conference, new state publications related to libraries, and the level of state support for WHCLIST. (THC)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☑ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

ED249998

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Inquiry

STATE/TERRITORY AGENCY RESPONSES

September, 1980

IR050867

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
EPSIS

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Name of State A L A S K A

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

   Improved library materials grant increased interest in institutional services. This is an ongoing process and will take some time.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?

   Better interest in national library legislation.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?

   Not specific meeting. One delegate and one alternate have been appointed to the Governor's Advisory Council on Libraries.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

   Alaska Library Association
   c/o E.E. Rasmuson Library
   University of Alaska
   Fairbanks, Alaska 99701

   Governor's Advisory Council on Libraries
   c/o Alaska State Library
   Pouch G
   Juneau, Alaska 99811

Name of State ARIZONA

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.) Increased visibility of Arizona and concern for Arizona libraries. Two groups are in the process of being organized. A statewide Friends roup and a group of concerned citizens (lay and library).

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?
Interest in the White House Conference resolutions and how they affect Arizona.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?
Revised and expanded State Grants-in-Aid are being sought and a committee formed to study library legislation in the State.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? Yes. The State Library sponsored a follow-up meeting that was held in April with Dr. Bessie Moore. Comparison of the White House Conference resolutions, AZ Governor's Conference resolutions and the State Long-Rnage Program, and the AZ delegates discussed the White House Conference.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

No, not yet.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

Planning Committee of Arizonans Concerned About Libraries.

Mr. Glen Leonard, Chair
Box 1001
Wilcox, AZ 85643

Name of State CONNECTICUT

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.) A statewide trends group is in the process of being organized and will hold their first meeting in October, 1980. Six bills based on resolutions passed at the Governor's Conference were introduced to the General Assembly in 1979 but all, as expected, failed to pass.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?
Relatively little - Connecticut's delegates to the WHC seemed unwilling to accept much responsibility for follow-up activities after the WHC.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?

None at present.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? Delegates to the WHC met in early 1980 to discuss follow-up activities; however, no specific actions have been initiated. Several delegates to the state conference met throughout 1979 to discuss the formation of a group of "Citizens for Libraries" but most of that activity has been suspended.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

No.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

Does not apply.

Name of State DELAWARE

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

(see attached sheet)

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?
Increased interest among all libraries regarding public relations.
The Delaware Division of Libraries has assisted.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?
The Governor's Task Force on Library Cooperation is planning a series of workshops.
Expanded Public Relations program.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? A program "Report to the People" was held on December 8, 1979 at which time Delaware's delegates made a presentation of the White House Conference Resolutions.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

A supplement to our quarterly newsletter is attached.
A brochure entitled "Giving Direction for Delaware"
} See separate notebook

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.
Public Libraries

1) What has been achieved as a result of your state or territorial pre-White House Conference?

   a) The Governor of Delaware established a task force to promote cooperation, communication and the sharing of resources among all types of libraries within the State. The task force members include library personnel, public officals of the State and local levels of government, representatives of business and other lay persons.

   b) Increased interest in Friends groups and creation of new ones and revitalization of old ones.

Name of State Colorado

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)
   1. Legislation passed creating a state documents depository
   2. Increase funding for regional library service systems

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?
   Five lay delegates have become very ardent supporters of quality library services. Two of the White House Conference delegates have been named to the state advisory committee to the state library and national delegates were able to bring back a broader perspective to share with their colleagues in Colo.
3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory? An implementation committee (which is a sub-committee of the state advisory council to the State Library) met for a period of several months to develop an implementation strategy. LSCA III funds are being used to fund a series of regional followup meetings which will use an implementation strategy workbook as a basis for developing citizen interest in supporting
4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?
   Delegates to the national conference served with members of the implementation subcommittee to develop the implementation strategy and to suggest the format for the regional followup meetings. National delegates as well as selected state delegates will be featured speakers and discussion leaders at the follo meeti
5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.
   Colorado Governor's Conference on Library and Information Services

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.
   The Governor's office on Volunteerism has been especially helpful and has offer to provide speakers for followup conferences as well as assisting in the development of the implementation strategy workbook.

3. CONTINUED. strong library programs in their community.

Name of State GUAM

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.) Passed legislation on disposition of fines for overdue materials.
Island wide Friends of the Libraries group organized 12/78.
Library hours, parking, etc. , attached.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?
Resolutions B14, B15 & B16. Local Legislature passed resolution in support of resolutions mentioned, attached.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?
Follow-up activity to get feed back on resolutions implemented by various departments.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?
Yes. Approximately 75 attended the Follow-up meeting. Delegates reported on some of the resolutions and on the different workshops' themes, especially those affecting Guam.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.
Report enclosed.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.
Guam Legislature, Speaker Thomas Tanaka, 15th Guam Legislature,
P. O. Box 373, Agana, Guam 96910.

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

GUAM

TOPIC AREA 1: _____

Program Recommendations:
Public libraries become multi-media resource centers for the use of people of all ages, in order to provide: (1) a cultural center with exhibits and displays; (2) a large public bulletin board for all types of public and individual announcements, subject to rules to be established; (3) an on-going educational program to train all age levels of the public in the use of audio-visual equipment, library systems, and how to obtain access to almost anything; and (4) a public audio-visual resource room designed to allow multiple equipment usage.

Coin-operated photocopy machines be made available in all public libraries.

TOPIC AREA II: FINANCE

Issue #3: Local Funding for Libraries

Program Recommendation: Funds received from lost library books and fines be retained by that library for use by that library for the purchase of library materials and other appropriate services, such as lecture or movie series.

TOPIC AREA III: GOVERNANCE

Issue #1: Where and What Are Guam's Libraries

Policy Recommendation: The Guam Public Library Board hold at least one meeting per year in each of the branch libraries and that announcements of all Board meetings be made in the print and broadcast media.

Program Recommendation: A public library be opened as soon as possible in Yona.

Issue #3: Do Library Laws and Policies Need Changing?

Policy Recommendations:
Library users be given the opportunity to recommend and select for acquisition library materials pertinent to their interest. All books and/or other library-related materials considered to be obsolete be made available to the public at no cost. Back issues of magazines be circulated in the same manner as books.

Issue #4: Guam's Library Future

Program Recommendation: The Government of Guam purchase or acquire by condemnation the land adjacent to the Nieves M. Flores Memorial Library to provide an adequate parking area for library users.

TOPIC AREA IV: GUAM'S INFORMATION NEEDS

Issue #1: Pluralistic Society and Its Needs

Program Recommendations: Public libraries replace unsafe swinging doors, provide adult-sized tables and chairs for their adult patrons, and provide more comfortable seating where needed.

In future elections a separate category be created for a youth delegate to the White House Conference on Libraries and Information Services.

Issue #4: Special Services for the Handicapped

Program Recommendation: The Department of Education acquire more large-print books suitable for use by elementary and secondary school students.

TOPIC AREA V: SPECIAL INTEREST LIBRARIES FOR SPECIAL PEOPLE WITH SPECIAL NEEDS

Issue #1: School and Academic Libraries

Program Recommendation: The position of Library Services Coordinator of the Department of Education be filled by a fully qualified person with a salary commensurate with the responsibilities.

Issue #2: Base Libraries

Program Recommendation: The Governor's Pre-White House Conference on Libraries and Information Services cable Representative Antonio B. Won Pat regarding the recent decision to close the Finegayan - NAVCAMS base library because of the loss of staff and the recent federal cut backs. The library technician position should be restored and the library kept open.

Issue #3: Private and Alternative Information Systems

Program Recommendation: Whereas Guam radio station KUAM has discontinued the "Story Lady" program,

Be it resolved that: (1) the Governor's Pre-White House Conference on Libraries and Information Services award a certificate of appreciation to Jean Barnes for her years of service dedicated to Guam's children as the KUAM Story Lady; (2) The Governor's Pre-White House Conference on Libraries and Information Services present a certificate of appreciation to the Guam Women's Club for its sustained sponsorship of the "Story Lady" radio program which has entertained and enlightened a generation of Guam's children; and (3) The Governor's Pre-White House Conference on Libraries and Information Services commend the management of radio station KUAM for having made the "Story Lady" program available to Guam's children for many years and that the conference petition the management of KUAM to restore this valuable radio program to its programing schedule.

FIFTEENTH GUAM LEGISLATURE
1980 (SECOND) Regular Session

Resolution No. 573

Introduced by

T. V. C. Tanaka
C. C. Bamba
F. F. Blas
E. T. Charfauros
T. C. Crisostomo
E. R. Duenas
E. M. Espaldon
C. A. Kasperbauer
A. C. Lamorena III
A. M. Palomo
B. M. Palomo
P. F. Perez, Jr.
J. F. Quan
F. J. Quitugua
J. T. San Agustin
F. R. Santos
R. Q. Sudo
R. F. Taitano
J. H. Underwood
A. R. Unpingco

Relative to improving the postal service for library materials destined for Guam.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE TERRITORY OF GUAM:

WHEREAS, geographical barriers and expensive or slow postal service impede access to information by organizations and professions, most significantly the Guam Public Library system, and are particularly significant to the non-contiguous parts of the United States and its territories and to the visually impaired; and

WHEREAS, the delivery of library books and materials and audio-visual materials by surface mail causes serious delays in delivery, often resulting in the receipt of information when it is no longer of use; and

WHEREAS, domestic telecommunication rates do not apply to non-contiguous areas of the United States; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Fifteenth Guam Legislature respectfully requests the United States Postal Service to change appropriate

policies and regulations so that all library materials addressed to and from non-contiguous parts of the United States and its territories will be sent as first class mail at surface mail rates; and be it further

RESOLVED, that Federal Communications Commission policy regarding telecommunications rates concerning library services should be revised to ensure that domestic rates apply to non-contiguous parts of the United States and its territories; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the United States Postal Service handle library materials addressed to or coming from the visually impaired as first-class mail; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Speaker certify to and the Legislative Secretary attest the adoption hereof and that copies of the same be thereafter transmitted to the President of the United States; to the United States Congressman for Guam; to the Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives; to the President Pro Tem, United States Senate; to the United States Postmaster General; to the Federal Communications Commission; to the United States Postmaster General for Guam; to Magdalena Taitano, Territorial Librarian; and to the Governor of Guam.

DULY AND REGULARLY ADOPTED ON THE 6TH DAY OF AUGUST 1980.

JAMES H. UNDERWOOD
Legislative Secretary

THOMAS V.C. TANAKA
Speaker

Name of State Hawaii

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

   No impact felt; too early to assess.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?

   More awareness of public libraries. A National White House conference delegate's interest resulted in an appointment to a Library Advisory Commission.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?

   Plan to refine short and long range plans based on results of the conferences. It is our hope to have a Pacific Basin meeting to discuss and plan on sharing of resources.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?

   A "report to the delegates" was conducted Jan. 22-31, 1980.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

   No.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

Name of State KENTUCKY

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.) Regional (14) follow-up sessions were conducted by ~~State~~ Ky Dept Library personnel with approximately 20 persons at each session. The annual plan of the LSCA five-year plan has been reviewed in regard to recommendations. The State Library has begun to recognize any public library or library card as credentials for circulating State Library books.
2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference? The Department of Library & Archives featured the White House Conference with an entire issue of the newsletter sent to over 2,000 library & library interested persons. Regional library staff and public librarians were asked to consider the recommendations on the local level. (See also response to #1 which applied to the entire WHC proce
3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory? An effort to strength the consulting of KLA has been made by establising a consultant team to evaluate libraries when requested; an effort to establish regional committees of librarians and lay people to address WHC issues for local implementation; the annual plan of the LSCA five-year plan has received suggestions for consideration in revising it.
4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? The committee of delegates met on several occasions to consider its future role as an advisory group, and to plan the follow-up sessions and reports in the regions. The committee met to elect representatives to the Minneapolis meeting.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy. KEYNOTE (KLA newsletter), issues May & July, 1980. May issue was devoted exclusively to the WHC, and an article summing up the success of the follow-up meetings was also included in the July issue.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses. It is being proposed that for the 1981 Kentucky Library Association meeting, KLA sponsor another citizen's input into library problems and needs.

Name of State MARYLAND

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.) A large group of citizens with a particular interest in libraries has been identified. A Governor-appointed committee on government documents has been established.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference? Increased awareness of libraries and improved communications between library segmental groups.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory? New legislation and funding are being sought.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? There was a follow-up meeting for all state conference delegates to hear a report on the White House Conference. The White House Conference delegates have met several times.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy. Two issues of Keynotes

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

Maryland State Department of Education
200 West Baltimore Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Name of State Massachusetts

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

   Nothing; the resolutions were too general (just asking for more of what is already being done) except for one directed to the Governor asking for a Commission to study the library situation--the Governor has not responded.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?

   Nothing; the Massachusetts delegation reported to the Board of Library Commissic the Board's reaction was that the resolutions were too general and required more money that the BLC does not have nor expect to get.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?

   None. See 2., above.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?

   Yes. What happened is unknown to the state library agency. The group is independent of the state agency.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

   None.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

   None.

Name of State Minnesota

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state or territorial pre-White House Conference: (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

   While many factors have influenced recent accomplishments, the pre-White House Conference generated enthusiasm and built momentum. A new program for multi-county multi-type library cooperation was established and funded by the legislature. The appropriation for state aid for public library services increased by 53%. State appropriations for operation of the library for the blind and physically handicapped increased substantially. The statewide friends organization was reactivated. The eight statewide library and information services organizations have developed stronger working relationships. Large numbers of people previously uninvolved in issues of library and information services are now better involved and more active.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?

   Public attention was focused on library and information services during the White House Conference through participation in the "Be With A Book For A Day" campaign. White House Conference resolutions will be analyzed as the Minnesota Long Range Plan for Library Services is revised.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?

   At a reception at the Governor's Residence, they delivered a formal report to Governor Quie. A formal report was presented to the State Board of Education, and a report is planned for the Joint House/Senate Education Subcommittee on Libraries. Reports have been given at conferences and meetings of state, regional and local groups. A written report was prepared and distributed to all delegates to the pre-White House Conference. An article on the Conference will soon appear in the Newsletter of the League of Women Voters of Minnesota.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?

   National delegates have met several times. Meetings have been devoted to preparation of reports and general discussion of implementation.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency of individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

The People Speak-A Report on Minnesota Governor's Pre-White House Conference on Library and Information Services.
The White House Conference on Library and Information Services: Report to Delegates to the Minnesota Governor's Pre-White House Conference on Library and Information Services.

Both publications are available from:
Office of Public Libraries and Interlibrary Cooperation
301 Hanover Building
480 Cedar Street
St. Paul, MN 55101

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list name and addresses.

In addition to the 8 statewide library and information services organizations, the following also have assisted:

League of Women Voters of Minnesota, 555 Wabasha Street, St. Paul, MN 55101.

Minnesota Association of Library Friends, 4846 Thomas Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN 55410

Name of State MISSISSIPPI

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)
   Nothing directly accomplished yet but plan to ask for more state funds for FY 1982 and plan to modify services now offered by this state agency.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?
   Awareness of and interest in the proposed National Library Information and Services Act, expecially those sections dealing with interlibrary cooperation.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?
   None. Need focus which will hopefully be something a new agency director would do!

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?
   April 1-2, 1980
   Explained the new proposed National Library Information and Services Act.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.
   Mississippi Governor's Library Conference Report
   Mississippi Library Commission
   P.O. Box 3260
   Jackson, MS 39207

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

Name of State Montana

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

   Coal severance tax funding for public library federations.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?
   Increased citizen interest in Library funding.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?
   The first follow-up meeting will take place after the Minneapolis meeting.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?
   Committee will probably plan for one.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.
   Resolutions are available from Montana State Library.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.
   Montana Library Association
   Richard Gercken, President
   Great Falls Public Library
   2nd Ave. No. & 3rd St.
   Great Falls, MT 59401

Name of State NEBRASKA

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.) Systems legislative proposal drafted; mail-a-book demonstration established; statewide continuing education plan drafted.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference? It is too early to assess any definite achievement resulting from the National Conference.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory? A State Advisory Council Task Force was appointed to consider the State and National Conference resolutions and make recommendations as to program priorities for the year. Their report was adopted in May, 1980.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? The delegates made a report to the Nebraska State Advisory Council on Libraries; articles about their experiences were published in the library association newsletter.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

Nebraska State Advisory Council on Libraries, Nebraska Library Association

Name of State Nevada

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

   Formation of Legislative Interim Study Committee to study libraries; report wil be out in September, numerouse recommendations relating to state aid, distribut of state documents, governance of local libraries and of regional systems. NLA sponsored statewide study, culminated in Master Plan for Library Developmen

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?

   Chairman of study committee attended and his awareness of libraries heightened b experience. All delegates gained from experience. No concrete achievments beyond that.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?

   Continue to report to library community at apprpriate meetings activities of WHC delegates, followup representatives.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? Two followup meetings were held: one in January in Reno to report on conference to members of the Interim Study Committee and audie at those hearings; second one in February in Las Vegas to local librarians and members of Southern Nevada Friends.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

   None Issued.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

   Nevada Library Association
   Joyce Lee, Sec'y
   c/o Nevdad StateLibrary
   Capitol Complex
   Carson City, NV 89710

Name of State NEW JERSEY

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.) State Library budget and State aid for libraries were not cut as were budgets and State aid for other agencies. Pending legislation to expand network programs into a multitype network and to establish a statewide library card are partially results of State Conference.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference? Nothing directly. I believe that the fact that LSCA appropriation was not cut (LSCA Title III proposal for an increase) was direct result of White House Conference and will have direct benefit to state.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory? Statewide Planning Group has been working since June, 1979 on new comprehensive state plan. Many elements in plan are result of State Conference. Plan includes draft legislation referred to above.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? Yes--in January we held a meeting of delegates to State Conference at which progress was reported and delegates to White House Conference also reported.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

No.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

New York State

White House Conference on Library and Information Services Follow-Up

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state or territorial pre-White House Conference?

Enactment of comprehensive new systems legislation in December 1978, including provision for pilot school library systems and two regional networks for intersystem cooperation, increases in state aid to public library systems, and statutory authorization for reference and research library resource systems. State aid increased to $34.4 million.

Organization (April 1980) of the Citizen's Library Council of New York State (CLIC) to generate public awareness, use and support of all types of libraries and library services.

Appropriation of $550,000 to place a Kurzweil Reading Machine in each of the state's 22 public library systems. Machines were completely in place as of June 1980.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National Conference?

(1) Awareness generated in conjunction with the White House Conference assisted in local public relations and development of CLIC, and has been helpful in new legislative programs seeking additional funding and expansion of program. (2) Increased understanding of need for Federal appropriations and new legislation. (3) Organization of the National Citizens Caucus.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?

A 19-member Commissioner's Committee on Statewide Library Development, appointed in January 1980, is developing recommendations to present to the Commissioner of Education in September 1981. The "blue ribbon" Committee of experts in communications, finance, technology, and library service is chaired by Mr. Alfred Giardino, a citizen member of the New York delegation to the White House Conference. Several members of the Committee were delegates to the Governor's Conference in June 1978 or to the White House Conference.

The Regents Advisory Council on Libraries reported to the New York State Board of Regents in May 1980 on the accomplishments and actions needed to implement recommendations of the Governor's Conference and the White House Conference. Library systems meetings and conferences have focused on recommendations.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates...?

The delegation met in Albany March 10, 1980. Delegates and organizers of CLIC met with Governor Carey. Delegates elected representatives to the national ad hoc Implementation Committee and reviewed both State legislation and a report summarizing post-WHC opinion on key issues from the White House Conference.

5. Have any publications been issued...?

The Conference was widely reported in system newsletters and bulletins and in the bulletin of the New York Library Association. The September New York School Boards Association Journal includes an article by Delegate Regent Chodos. The video tape, Bringing Information to People, was reproduced by the State Education Department's Center for Mass Communications and prints placed in each of the 22 public library systems are being used in meetings, public programs, and broadcast.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation?

Statewide: New York Library Association, New York Association of Library Boards, New York Chapter of Special Libraries Association, Citizens Library Council of NYS, United Federation of Teachers. Locally: Educational, community, professional, and other organizations.

Name of State North Carolina

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.) All of above +, see attached issue of Tar Heel Libraries* + HOTLINE

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference? See attached issue of Tar Heel Libraries

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory? See attached issue of Tar Heel Libraries.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? See attached issue of Tar Heel Libraries. WHCLIS delegates met twice. They presented collectively over 20 presentations to groups around the state

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.
See attached issue of Tar Heel Libraries

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.
See attached issue of Tar Heel Libraries

* for continuation of article, see separate notebook

Roberta Smith

LJ/SLJ HOTLINE
June 2, 1980

NORTH CAROLINA POST-WHCLIS REPORT: The North Carolina State Library Newsletter for March/April (just arrived at LJ) contains an admirable progress report on library development and related action taken in the state in the aftermath of the state and national conferences. Of particular interest is the format, which coordinates the North Carolina resolutions with those finally passed at the White House Conference. This turned out to be a real job, not a simple matching of similar resolutions, because of the many resolutions and ideas frequently loaded into one resolution--as well as the frequent reappearance of ideas in two or more separate resolutions. But North Carolina can now look at its own stated priorities up against the national document; it shows that with few exceptions, the concerns within the state are reflected in the larger context. There were no national-level counterparts to North Carolina calls for greater volunteer activity and private financing of public libraries, or for resolutions asking for a state level depository program or demonstrations of new technology. But North Carolina can point to many actions taken already to advance toward goals: literacy projects, public relations, new Friends groups, dissemination of copyright information, oral history and municipal documents programs, an amended state documents law, new appropriations by the state for films, and new efforts to cultivate private foundations on behalf of libraries are among some of the many actions reported from North Carolina. If this is characteristic of the other states and the territories, libraries are moving with vigor to make the WHCLIS vision a reality.

TAR HEEL LIBRARIES
March/April 1980

NCGCLIS vs. WHCLIS - Summary Update

North Carolina's twelve official WHCLIS delegates took 32 state resolutions to Washington. Of these, eight were general policy resolutions, 19 primarily addressed local and state level concerns, and only four specifically addressed national issues. In spite of this state level emphasis, which was characteristic of the state resolutions submitted to the WHCLIS planners, the 64 resolutions adopted by the national delegation reflect, at least in part, all but three of North Carolina's resolutions.

In many cases, however, the 64 WHCLIS resolutions presented to President Carter on March 21 are a "mixed bag" covering several related areas of concern simultaneously under one heading. Many of these resolutions predictably also reflect issues not addressed by the North Carolina Governor's Conference. The question of a National Library Act to replace LSCA or the need for an Office of Libraries in the new Department of Education - or less controversial issues such as the need for local control (not a problem in North Carolina) or a national logo, did not surface at the state conference. Approximately 30 WHCLIS resolutions are not related in any way to N. C. recommendations.

The library press continues to report on progress on the national recommendations and their effect on legislation, funding, and policy at

the national level. On January 24 at ALA Mid-winter, the ALA Council adopted a Proposed ALA Legislative Program (based on the WHCLIS resolutions), and developed by the ALA Legislation Committee under the able direction of Ella Yates of the Atlanta Public Libraries (see Washington Newsletter, Vol. 32, No. 1, January 31, 1980).

With all of the publicized activity and review at the national level, it is not necessary for THL to recap the content of the WHCLIS resolutions. Librarians who have not seen a copy of the resolutions may order the final report summary from: WHCLIS, 1717 K Street, N.W., Suite 601, Washington, D. C., Attention: Kelvin V. Hackett. The first copy is free. Additional copies are $3.00 each in multiples of 10 only.

Although North Carolina delegates express continuing interest and support for resolutions developed at the NCGCLIS and WHCLIS, response to a planned May 19 follow-up meeting was not what the follow-up committee had hoped. Previous commitments, the gas shortage and resultant travel restrictions, and the time of year (budget, end-of-school) all contributed to the committee's decision to cancel the meeting. There have been a number of local meetings throughout North Carolina to discuss results of the White House Conference, and the North Carolina WHCLIS delegation has collectively presented over 20 presentations to interested civic and library groups across the state.

As an alternative to a statewide follow-up meeting, THL agreed to feature an update on progress on the North Carolina resolutions and a comparison of state resolutions to WHCLIS resolutions. The following summary groups the North Carolina resolutions under the six headings of the WHCLIS resolutions, which are listed by title only. The numbers to the left of the NC resolutions indicate the WHCLIS resolutions which at least in part reflect NC concerns. An update on state level progress only follows. It appears there has been progress or activity on many of the North Carolina resolutions. Responsibility for further implementation rests with state level departments, such as the State Library and Division of Educational Media, which continue to include the recommendations in their planning, with NCLA and its committees, and with citizens and librarians who are willing to work at the local level to maintain the spirit of library support so much in evidence at our Conference. If you would be willing to work in your region to follow up on GC recommendations, please fill out the form on page 10 and return it to the State Library.

Ohio

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-up Questionnaire

1. A statewide Ohio Friends of the Library has been established. A statewide meeting of the Friends was held last year, and another is planned for this year. This statewide Friends group is the coordinating body for all local Friends of Libraries organizations in the state. They are also assisting other libraries in preparing information for individual communities to establish Friends groups.

   Public libraries especially have had more visibility through increased public relations activities. These have also led to a quest for increased funds through tax levies and bond issues. Many of these have passed in local communities because of the increased visibility.

   Librarians and lay people involved in the state White House Conference have continued their activity and their cooperative discussions on an individual basis since the state White House Conference. There also seems to be an increased awareness of concerns of other types of libraries among those people who participated in the state White House Conference.

2. There is an increased awareness of the problems of the disabled and the handicapped in their attempt to receive library services. More people are aware of the need for accessibility to libraries for information.

3. There is a planned increase in over-all public relations for libraries within the state. The State Library agency along with the other state libraries and the American Library Association is planning a nationwide public relations campaign. This will be continued on the state and local level as a follow-up.

   As The Ohio Long Range Program for library development is being reviewed, the state and national White House Conference recommendations are being reviewed for inclusion within the long-range plan. We have found that in many cases we are already moving ahead on these recommendations in our state. We have as one of our high priorities the multitype library cooperatives for sharing of resources. We are also in the stage of developing a statewide network for resource sharing. Workshops are being planned on literacy and health care services.

4. There was a follow-up meeting of the delegates to review the national recommendations in light of the state recommendations and to incorporate the two of them for final recommendation to the State Library Board. This final document was presented to the State Library Board in June 1980.

5. The final publication of the recommendations of the Ohio White House Conference on Library and Information Services delegates was presented to the State Library Board in June 1980. The publication is in the process

(continued over)

of being reproduced internally for mass distribution. Further information on this is to be addressed to Richard M. Cheski, State Librarian, The State Library of Ohio, 65 South Front Street, Room 1205A, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

The following organizations have been helpful in assisting us in reviewing the recommendations and preparing a plan for implementation: Mr. Hugh A. Durbin, President, Ohio Educational Library Media Association (OELMA), and Director of School Libraries, Columbus Public Schools, 889 E. 17th Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43211; and Dr. A. Robert Rogers, President, Ohio Library Association (OLA), and Dean, School of Library Science, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242.

RMC:NC
8/26/80

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

RHODE ISLAND

1. State aid was increased for local libraries, regional libraries, the Principal Public Library and the Special Research Centers. The services of the Regional Library for the Blind and Physically Handicapped were strengthened with the addition of an in-state WATS line. Libraries in the state have initiated a universial book return project in which library books can be returned to any library. The Rhode Island Library Film Cooperative was established in the Rhode Island Library Law.

2. A renewed interest and commitment has developed on the part of libraries in expanding their services to meet the total information needs of their citizens.

3. The Department of State Library Services developed a new Five Year Long Range Plan using the resolutions of the Governor's Conference as a base. The Rhode Island Library Association is using the resolutions of the Governor's Conference as well as the White House Conference in reviewing the Rhode Island Library Law for possible revisions or re-writing. In the planning stages is the Conference to Develop Priorities for Library Services in Rhode Island in the Eighties which will use the Governor's Conference resolutions as basis for developing priorities of services for the various types of libraries in Rhode Island.

4. The delegates met with the Rhode Island Library Association, the Consortium of Rhode Island Academic and Research Libraries and the Rhode Island Chapter of the Special Libraries Association to report on the White House Conference. A second meeting was held to select the representatives for the Adhoc Committee on White House Conference Monitoring and Follow-Up Activities. On October 23 there is planned a meeting of all of the Governor's Conference delegates to hear a presentation on the proposed National Library and Information Services Act by Senator Claiborne Pell and to hear reports on the various follow-up activities.

5. No.

6. Rhode Island Library Association
150 Empire Street
Providence, Rhode Island 02903

Consortium of Rhode Island Academic and Research Libraries
% Earl Schwass, Chairperson
U.S. Naval War College Libraries
Newport, Rhode Island 02840

Name of State South Carolina

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)There has been a 50% increase in State Aid. Though not completely attributable to the state conference, this did give the campaign impetus. A resolution requesting more input by library administrators into State Aid regulations has been implemented. A Task Force on Automation has begun studying problems statewide, and a feasibility study for a state (over)

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference? No attempt as yet has been made to implement the resolutions coming out of the national conference. South Carolina will benefit indirectly through retention of LSCA funding which the national conference influenced.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory? The South Carolina Library Association's Planning Committee is studying the recommendation for a statewide Friends of Libraries group. The State Library in cooperation with the University of South Carolina College of Librarianships and the State Department of Higher Education has developed a Committee for Continuing Education as a result of the resolution (over)

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? There has not been a follow-up meeting for the delegates to the state conference. A follow-up meeting was held for the delegates to the national conference and two of them will be attending the White House Conference follow-up meeting in Minnesota in September. Reporting sessions were held for SCLA, Association of Public Library Administrators and various (over)

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy. The report of the state Conference was published. Title: Report: Governor's Conference on Library and Information Services; March 15-17, 1979, Columbia, South Carolina. Available from the South Carolina State Library, 1500 Senate Street, Post Office Box 11469, Columbia, South Carolina 29211. A brochure called Dollars and Cents which was developed in (over)

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.
Association of Public Library Administrators of South Carolina
University of South Carolina College of Librarianship
Public Library Trustees Section of South Carolina Library Association
South Carolina Library Association
Association of Business and Professional Women of South Carolina

1. network will be done in 1980-81. A resolution concerning additional emphasis on literacy and removal of physical barriers is being implemented to the degree possible. The State Library has had a statewide workshop for public librarians on the subject of eliminating barriers for the physically handicapped and all public libraries are developing transition plans implementing removal of such barriers, both physical and psychological.

3. on that item at the state level.

   The South Carolina Library Association has also increased its efforts in the area of public relations activities.

4. local and regional groups.

5. a State Aid campaign also is available from the South Carolina State Library.

Name of State Texas

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)
A legislative program grew out of the resolution calling for a $4.00 per capita. At present, it is 16 cents per capita. Delegates are working toward improved library services.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?
White House Conference Delegates incorporated a statewide organization called Texans for Libraries. (By-laws enclosed) Organization is working with the Friends, Texas Library Association

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?
Texans for Libraries are contacting all 200 delegates to involve them in the Texas Library Ass. Legislative Network. They are working with the legislature to promote legislation for libraries.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?
Small groups have met for organizational purposes. A Legislative Day is being proposed.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.
A follow-up flyer listing WHC Delegates and Texas resolutions. Two WHC Delegates wrote articles concerning the national conference in the state newsletter.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.
Texas Librarary Association
Ray Janeway
Dir. Lib. Serv.
Texas Tech University
Lubbock, Texas 79409

Texans for Libraries
Betty Anderson
5017 15th
Lubbock, Texas 79416

Friends of Texas Libraries

Name of State U.S. Virgin Islands

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

(Please see attached chart #1)
(not attached
(See separate notebook)

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?

(Please see attached chart #2)
. not attached. See separate notebook.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory? Following the territorial Governor's Conferenc an Implementation Committee was formed to study the recommendations of the Conference and to identify the top priorities for implementation. Representatives the Implementation Committee met with the Governor, and their report has been fo warded to the Governor's Library Advisory Committee for future action.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned? No formal follow-up meeting as such. Delegates keep informed via the Bureau's bi-monthly newsletter, INFORMATION, and by other publicity and news releases.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

INFORMATION, Vol. IV, No. 6 (Enclosed is one copy. Additional copies may be obtained by writing the editor, Dr. Helen Laurence, Public Library, 49-50 King Street, Christiansted, St. Croix, USVI 00820

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

(1) St. Thomas/St. John Friends of the Library
c/o Enid M. Baa Public Library, PO Box 390
St. Thomas, USVI 00801

(2) St. Croix Library Association
c/o Mary Bandyk
Frederiksted Public Library
Frederiksted, St. Croix
USVI 00840

(3) Friends of the Williams Public Li
c/o F. Williams Public Library
49-50 King St., Christiansted,
St. Croix, USVI 00820

(4) St. Thomas Library Association
PO Box 390, St. Thomas, USVI 008

(5) St. Croix Chamber of Commerce Library Committee
17 Church Street, Christiansted, St. Croix
USVI 00820

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. Although I cannot say all was a result of our governor's conference, a good deal has been accomplished in Virginia during the last year. Largely as a result of the actions of the Legislative Committee of the Virginia Library Association, state aid to public libraries has been increased by almost 90 percent, with legislative promises of more to come. The same group, with appropriate subcommittees, has undertaken a methodical review of present library legislation with a view to having desirable changes enacted in the next several legislatures, beginning with the appointment of a study committee in the General Assembly. There has been increased effort in public relations and awareness; the State Library has joined in both national and statewide publicity campaigns. The Virginia Library Association has assigned to committees the resolutions from the governor's conference, and will be recommending association, government, or other actions on most of them.

2. We cannot measure the effects of the White House Conference. We are just now distributing the proceedings and resolutions and our comments.

3. We are implementing resolutions of the governor's conference, as noted above. The only additional item from the WHCOLIS is the National Library Act, and we are beginning to wrestle with that.

4. No; no.

5. No.

6. In preparation.

7. Virginia Library Association
Virginia Educational Media Association
Special Library Association

Name of State West Virginia

Name of Respondent Frederic J. Glazer/Lewis McM.

Return by August 28 to: National Commission on Libraries and Information Science
1717 K Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Inquiry

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state or territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

Statewide Friends Group chartered as a section of the West Virginia Library Association; increased awareness of the library program; executive committed to increased grants-in-aid; platform planks endorsing library support included in 1980 planks of State Democrat and Republican party platforms; Resolutions included in Long-Range Five-Year Plan.

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?

Statewide participation in "Be With a Book for a Day"; Governor's Proclamation for same.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?

Whatever it takes.

Note: Continue on additional sheets of paper, if necessary.

(Over)

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?

No; perhaps.

5. Is the WHCLIS delegates' expertise being utilized as a group? (For example: as the core of a citizens or friends organization, as an advisory committee to the governor, as an advisory group to the state library association.) If yes, please describe .

Yes; individuals in influential positions have carried the White House Conference message into the highest levels of State and National Government, and into the inner sanctums of political leadership of both parties.

6. Have any publications (print or other media) been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individuals to be contacted to obtain a copy.

No.

7. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.

West Virginia Library Commission
Science & Cultural Center
Charleston, West Virginia 25305

Name of State WISCONSIN

Return by August 25 to: National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1717 K. Street, N.W., Suite 601
Washington, D.C. 20036

White House Conference on Library and Information Services
Follow-Up Questionnaire

1. What has been achieved as a result of your state of territorial pre-White House Conference? (For example: increased state aid, new library legislation passed or pending, a statewide friends group established, etc.)

   State library legislation effecting state library org.and functions; state aid for systems; state institutional libraries; Indian tribal and community libraries

2. What has been achieved in your state or territory as a result of the National White House Conference?

   Nothing directly except librarian and citizen awareness of some issues.

3. What implementation activities have taken place or are planned in your state or territory?
   Letters to government officals, library agencies and organizations, and others informing them of resolutions and asking them for follow-up reports.

4. Has there been a follow-up meeting of the delegates to the national or the state or territorial conference? If so, summarize briefly. If not, is one planned?
   Four follow-up meetings of national delegates, plus invitational meeting to which many Governor's Conference delegates came to discuss follow-up activities. Advised on LSCA-funded follow-up project.

5. Have any publications been issued in your state (reports, indexes of resolutions, etc.) based on the White House Conference? Please list by title, with name and address of agency or individual to be contacted to obtain a copy.

   "Is There Life After The White House Conference", article, Wisconsin Library Bulletin, July-August, 1980; multifold flyer--"The People Spoke Up", with very few copies remaining from Wisconsin Division for Library Services, 125 S. Webster, Madison, WI 53702.

6. What agencies or organizations in your state have been useful assisting in implementation? Please list names and addresses.
   Wisconsin Library Association and its divisions, 1805 University Avenue, Madison WI 53705

   Wisconsin Division for Library Services (address above).